UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,960	05/04/2005	Wen Zhao	PAT 799W-2	8081
BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP		EXAMINER		
Anne Kinsman			LY, NGHI H	
WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA 100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9 CANADA			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipinfo@blgcanada.com aarmstrongbaker@blgcanada.com akinsman@blgcanada.com

	Application No.	plication No. Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/533,960	ZHAO ET AL.				
merview dammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NGHI H. LY	2617				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Nghi H. Ly</u> .	(3)					
(2) Chakrapani, Mukundan (Reg. No. 60879).	(4)					
Date of Interview: 20 March 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>12</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hunzinger et al (US 6,501,947)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the differences between Hunzinger et al (US 6,501,947) and the claim, the Examiner agreed that Hunzinger et al (US 6,501,947) does not teach a previously established data connection as claimed, and Applicant would provide an appropriate response to the last Office action.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims</u>						
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Nghi H. Ly/	\.				
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 26 Examiner's signature, if require					